# Faculty Teaching Load Responsibility Executive Summary Fall 2001

Report Prepared by
Robert Mullen
Assistant Director,
and Elisa Day,
Program Assistant
Institutional Research and Planning
Office of Planning & Budget
mullenrw@umsystem.edu

March 2002 Revised April, 2002

University of Missouri System P&B 02-04

Web location:

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY FACULTY TEACHING LOAD RESPONSIBILITY FALL 2001

This report summarizes the analysis of the on-campus teaching loads of full-time, regular, ranked faculty for the fall 2001 semester. Measures of teaching load presented in this report include the following: average section credits assigned, number of sections taught, average section credit value, section enrollment, and student credit hours generated. Data on off-schedule and off-campus instructional activities are not included in this report, nor are research buyouts reflected in the data. Beyond reporting teaching loads for fall 2001, the report includes a comparative analysis of changes in teaching loads since fall 1997.

#### Key findings include:

The average number of section credits assigned to full-time, regular, ranked faculty was 8.7 (Table 1).

The average number of sections assigned to full-time, regular, ranked faculty was 3.3 (Table 1).

The average number of student credit hours produced by full-time, regular, ranked faculty was 140 (Table 1).

Full-time, regular, ranked faculty were responsible for 52% of all on-campus sections and 47% of all on-campus student credit hours produced (Table 2).

Since 1997, the average assigned section credits of full-time, regular, ranked faculty has remained stable (Table 3a).

#### AVERAGE INSTRUCTIONAL RESPONSIBILITY AND PRODUCTIVITY

Table 1 shows the average number of section credits, sections, student credit hours and section enrollments for full-time, ranked, regular faculty during the Fall 2001 semester. Each dimension provides an important measure of faculty responsibility and productivity.

System-wide, faculty were assigned an average of 8.7 section credits.

The average number of section credits assigned ranged from 8.2 at UMC to 9.5 at UMR.

The average number of sections assigned system-wide was 3.3.

The highest average student credit hour production per faculty was at UMC (147), while the overall system average was 140 student credit hours.

Average section enrollments ranged from 18.8 at UMC to 14.3 at UMKC. System-wide, the average was 16.7.

Table 1: On-Campus Avera

#### **INSTRUCTIONAL CONTRIBUTION**

Table 2 depicts the relative contribution of full-time, regular, ranked faculty by presenting the percent of the total section credits, sections, student credit hours, and section enrollments that these faculty members provide.

Full-time, regular, ranked faculty were responsible for 55% of the assigned section credits.

At UMR, they were responsible for 67% of the section credits, while at UMSL, they were responsible for 39% of the section credits.

System-wide, 52% of all sections were assigned to this group. These faculty members were assigned almost two of every three sections offered at UMR and UMKC and four of every ten sections offered at UMSL.

**Table 2: On-Campus Instructional Contributio** 

## COMPARISONS TO PREVIOUS FALL SEMESTERS, FULL-TIME, REGULAR RANKED FACULTY

Tables 3a - 3e show comparisons of this semester's teaching load of full-time, regular, ranked faculty to the previous four fall semesters. More specifically, the tables display five-year historical trends for:

- --Average assigned section credits (Table 3a)
- --Number of sections (Table 3b)
- --Average credit value assigned to sections (Table 3c)
- --Average enrollment per section (Table 3d)
- --Average student credit hours produced (Table 3e)

The key findings include:

Table 3a: Comparison of Average Assigned Section Credits of Full-time, Regular, Ranked Faculty, Fall 1997 - Fall 2001

Can	npus	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Change	Percent Change
				Full-time	e, Regular, A	All Ranks		
UM	iC	8.2	8.4	8.5	8.0	8.2	0.0	0%
UM	KC	10.1	9.9	9.6	9.7	9.3	-0.8	-8%
UM	R	9.7	9.4	9.0	9.5	9.5	-0.2	-2%
UM	SL	7.9	8.3	7.9	8.3	8.8	0.9	11%
UM	-System	8.7	8.8	8.7	8.6	8.7	0.0	0%
					Professor			
UM	·C	8.2	8.5	8.8	8.0	8.5	0.3	3%
UM		10.5	10.1	9.6	9.2	8.4	-2.1	-21%
UM		10.5		7.0	7.2	0.4	-2.1	-21/0
11%		8.3					-0.8	
			₩					

Table 3b: Comparison of Number of Sections Assigned to Full-time, Regular, Ranked Faculty, Fall 1997 - Fall 2001

Campus	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Change	Percent Change
			All	Section Ty	pes		
U M C	2,906	2,970	2,903	2,778	2,854	-52	-2%
UMKC	1,460	1,405	1,419	1,469	1,401	-59	-4%
U M R	950	969	957	931	901	-49	-5%
UMSL	836	839	862	875	896	60	7 %
UM-System	6,152	6,183	6,141	6,053	6,052	-100	-2%

Table 3c: Comparison of Average Credit Value per Section, By Section Type,
For Full-time, Regular, Ranked Faculty,
Fall 1997 - Fall 2001

						Five-Yea	r Trend
					-		Percent
Campus	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Change	Change
			A II S	ection Types			
U M C	2.68	2.67	2.71	2.71	2.66	-0.02	-1%
UMKC	2.73	2.74	2.71	2.71	2.71	-0.02	-1%
U M R	2.81	2.72	2.69	2.73	2.69	-0.12	-4%
UMSL	2.73	2.82	2.73	2.80	2.69	-0.04	-2%
U M -System	2.72	2.71	2.71	2.73	2.68	-0.04	-2%
			Field/C	linical Section	ıs		
U M C	3.10	2.51	3.32	3.01	3.02	-0.08	-3 %
UMKC	3.23	3.44	4.00	3.00	2.60	-0.63	-20%
U M R							
UMSL	4.04	5.07	4.56	4.15	3.29	-0.74	-18%
UM-System	3.37	3.25	3.57	3.26	3.07	-0.29	-9%
			Inden	Lesson/Study			
U M C	2.87	2.86	2.89	2.95	3.06	0.19	7 %
UMKC	2.80	2.87	2.72	2.72	2.78	-0.02	- 1 %
U M R	3.74	3.42	3.42	3.59	3.41	-0.33	-9%
UMSL	2.37	2.49	2.47	2.62	2.66	0.29	1 2 %
UM-System	2.92	2.90	2.87	2.94	3.00	0.08	3 %
			Inte	rnet/Video*			
U M C			11110	Thet/ Viue	2.89	2.89	
U M K C				3.00	3.00	3.00	
U M R				3.00	3.00	3.00	
U M S L				3.00	3.00	3.00	
UM-System				3.00	2.95	2.95	
•			Labanata		•		
U M C	1.73	1.83	1.83	rytStudio Sect	1.74	0.01	1 %
UMKC	2.74	2.39	2.63	2.65	2.17	-0.57	-21%
U M R	1.24	1.27	1.30	1.28	1.28	0.04	3 %
UMSL	1.87	1.98	1.96	2.26	1.83	-0.04	-2 %
UM-System	1.73	1.71	1.74	1.76	1.68	-0.05	-3 %
				Lecture			
U M C	2.78	2.76	2.79	2.81	2.69	-0.08	-3 %
U M K C	2.78	2.67	2.79	2.72	2.73	0.02	1 %
U M R	2.76	2.74	2.75	2.72	2.73	-0.02	-1 %
U M S L	2.83	2.78	2.77	2.84	2.74	-0.02	-3 %
UM-System	2.75	2.73	2.76	2.77	2.73	-0.04	-1%
~ ,	v	&			22	J.U.	1 /
U M C	2.00	2.00	1.98	ec/Sem/D is 1.88	1.80	-0.21	-10%
U M K C	2.00	2.00 $2.22$	2.20	2.05	1.80	-0.21	-10%
	1.13	1.18	1.13	1.15	1.78	0.11	10%
U M R U M S L	2.89	2.89	2.87	2.89	2.81	-0.08	-3%
					2.81	-0.08	- 3 % - 9 %
UM-System	2.51	2.48	2.45	2.45	2.29	-0.21	-9%

<sup>\*</sup> New Section type for Fall 2000.

Table 3d: Comparison	of Average Enrollment per Sectg	

Table 3e: Comparison of Average Student Credit Hours Produced of Full-time, Regular, Ranked Faculty, Fall 1997 - Fall 2001
Revised: April, 2002

Campus	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	Change	Percent Change
UMC	152	154	149	143	147	-5	-3%
<b>UMKC</b>	148	129	133	129	133	-15	-10%
UMR	144	139	132	131	125	-19	-13%
<b>UMSL</b>	149	139	132	137	141	-8	-5%
<b>UM-System</b>	150	144	140	137	140	-10	-7%
UMC UMKC UMR UMSL UM-System	152 141 137 136 145	150 118 131 127 138	154 126 127 120 139	141 118 129 120 132	142 126 110 128 132	-10 -15 -27 -8 -13	-7% -11% -20% -6% -9%
UMC UMKC UMR UMS	151 145 161	164 139 154	147 132 147	141 137 140	151 145 150	0 0 -11	0% 0% -7%

#### TEACHING LOADS OF GRADUATE TEACHING ASSISTANTS

Graduate teaching assistants continued to represent a significant component of the educational delivery system at the University of Missouri. This was most notable at UMC and especially at the lower division level (Table 4).

On average, graduate assistants were responsible for two sections during Fall 2001, reflecting 3.8 section credits.

For the system, graduate assistants were responsible for 15% of all sections taught during Fall 2001.

At UMC, graduate assistants were responsible for over 49% of the lower division sections while at UMR they were responsible for 40% of the lower division sections.

At UMKC and UMSL, 9% and 18% of all lower division sections were assigned to graduate assistants, respectively.

For the system, graduate assistants taught 27% of the lower division lecture sections.

Table 4: Teaching Loads of Graduate Teaching Assistants, Fall 2001

Average Responsibility
Section Numb1

**Average Productivity** 

## Table 4 (cont.)

## Number and Percentage of Total On-Campus Lower Division Sections Taught

## **Section Type**

	Field/ Clinical		Indep Study		Laboratory/ Studio		Lecture				
Campus	#	<b>%</b>	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
UM-Columbia			22	25%	315	65%	354	36%	354	60%	(
<b>UM-Kansas City</b>			15	20%	0	0%	33	9%	0	0%	1
UM-Rolla			0	0%	74	60%	34	20%	34	50%	
UM-St. Louis			0	0%	0	170/	12	100			

#### SPECIAL NOTE

The accuracy and timeliness of the teaching load data contained in this report are dependent upon the accuracy and integrity of the data maintained by the custodians of the student information and personnel/payroll systems. Because the information contained in this report is compiled by the merging of two distinct administrative systems, the data reported do not represent official, independent counts of faculty, student enrollments, credit hours, or sections.

Beginning fall 1995, lecture sections have been identified separately from recitation/seminar/discussion sections. Where appropriate, historical data have been modified to reflect this change. If desired, detailed tables regarding this report are available. Please direct requests for the detailed tables, and any comments or suggestions concerning this study to Robert Mullen at (573) 882-0004 or mullenrw@umsystem.edu.