# Faculty Teaching Load Responsibility Executive Summary Fall 1998

Report Prepared by
Mardy T. Eimers
Senior Analyst
Office of Planning & Budget
EimersM@umsystem.edu

**March 1999** 

University of Missouri System P&B 99-7

Web location: <a href="http://www.system.missouri.edu/planning">http://www.system.missouri.edu/planning</a>

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY FACULTY TEACHING LOAD RESPONSIBILITY FALL 1998

This report summarizes the analysis of the on-campus teaching loads of full-time, regular, ranked faculty for the fall 1998 semester. Measures of teaching load presented in this report include the following: average section credits assigned, number of sections taught, average section credit value, section enrollment, and student credit hours generated. Beyond reporting teaching loads for fall 1998, the report includes a comparative analysis of changes in teaching loads since fall 1994.

#### Key findings include:

- The average number of section credits assigned to full-time, regular, ranked faculty was 8.8 (Table 1).
- Full-time, regular, ranked faculty were responsible for 57% of all on-campus sections and 51% of all on-campus student credit hours produced (Table 2).
- The average number of sections assigned to full-time, regular, ranked faculty was 3.3 (Table 1).
- The average number of student credit hours produced by full-time, regular, ranked faculty was 144 (Table 1).
- At the lower-division level, graduate assistants were responsible for 28% of all lectures and 65% of the recitation/seminar/discussions sections (Table 4).
- Sixty-two percent (62%) of the lower division laboratory/studio sections were assigned to graduate assistants (Table 4).

#### AVERAGE INSTRUCTIONAL RESPONSIBILITY AND PRODUCTIVITY

Table 1 shows the average number of section credits, sections, student credit hours and section enrollments for full-time, ranked, regular faculty during the fall 1998 semester. Each dimension provides an important measure of faculty responsibility and productivity.

- System-wide, faculty were assigned an average of 8.8 section credits.
- The average number of section credits assigned ranged from 8.3 at UMSL to 9.9 at UMKC.
- The average number of sections assigned system-wide was 3.3.
- The highest average student credit hour production per faculty was at UMC (154), while the lowest was at UMKC (129). The overall system average was 144 student credit hours.
- Average section enrollments ranged from 19.0 at UMC to 13.5 at UMKC. System-wide, the average was 17.0.

Table 1: On-Campus Average Instructional Responsibility and Productivity of Full-time, Ranked, Regular Faculty, Fall 1998

		Average I	Responsibility	Average Pr	oductivity
Rank	Number	Section Credits Taught	Number of Sections Taught	Student Credit Hours Produced	Average Section Size
		Univers	sity of Missouri - Sy	stem	
Professor	786	8.9	3.3	138	16.6
Associate Professor	711	9.1	3.4	153	17.4
Assistant Professor	398	8.3	3.0	140	17.3
Instructor	3	8.3	5.3	196	

#### INSTRUCTIONAL CONTRIBUTION

Table 2 depicts the relative contribution of full-time, regular, ranked faculty by presenting the percent of the total section credits, sections, student credit hours, and section enrollments that these faculty members provide.

- Full-time, regular, ranked faculty were responsible for 61% of the assigned section credits.
- At UMR, they were responsible for 72% of the section credits, while at UMSL, they were responsible for 46% of the section credits.
- System-wide, 57% of all sections were assigned to this group. These faculty members were assigned two of every three sections offered at UMR compared to 45% at UMSL.

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} \textbf{Table 2: On-Campus Instructional Contribution of Full-time, Regular, Ranked Faculty, Fall 1998} \end{tabular}$ 

	Percent of Total:							
			Student					
	Section	Sections	Credit	Section				
Rank	Credits	Taught	Hours	Enrollments				
		University of Missouri - System						
Professor	25	23	20	20				
Associate Professor	23	22	20	19				
Assistant Professor	12	11	10	10				
Instructor	0	0	0	0				
Tota		57	51	49				
	Un	niversity of Miss	souri - Columb	ia				
Professor	27	24	22	20				
Associate Professor	23	21	20	18				
Assistant Professor	13	11	11	10				
Instructor	0	0	0	0				
Tota	al 63	56	53	49				

#### COMPARISONS TO PREVIOUS FALL SEMESTERS

Tables 3a – 3d show comparisons of this semester's teaching load to the previous four fall semesters. The average assigned section credits for fall 1994 through fall 1998 are shown in Table 3a. The average number of sections for the past five fall semesters are depicted in Table 3b while Table 3c shows the average credit value assigned to those sections. Table 3d shows the average enrollment per section for fall 1994 through fall 1998. Please note that additional comparisons can be made by referring to *Faculty Teaching Load Responsibility Executive Summary*, *Fall 1997* (Planning & Budget Report: P&B 98-05).

• System-wide, as well as at UMC and UMR, there has been a slight decline in the average number of section credits assigned. At UMC, the decline was 0.2 section credits while at UMR the decline was 0.1 credits.

•

Table 3a: Comparison of Average Assigned Section Credits of Full-time, Regular, Ranked Faculty, Fall 1994 - Fall 1998

							Percent
Campus	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	Change	Change
			Full time	Dogular	, All Ranks		
UMC	8.6	8.3	8.6	8.2	8.4	-0.2	-2%
UMKC	9.9	10.4	10.1	10.1	9.9	0.0	0%
UMR	9.5	9.5	9.7	9.7	9.4	-0.1	-1%
UMSL	8.3	8.8	8.3	7.9	8.3	0.0	0%
UM-System	8.9	8.9	9.0	8.7	8.8	-0.1	-1%
Civi System	0.5	0.7	7.0	0.7	0.0	0.1	170
_				Professor	r		
UMC	8.7	8.2	8.9	8.2	8.5	-0.2	-2%
<b>UMKC</b>	9.2	10.5	9.9	10.5	10.1	0.9	10%
UMR	9.9	9.4	9.9	9.5	9.6	-0.3	-3%
UMSL	7.3	7.7	7.5	7.3	7.6	0.3	4%
<b>UM-System</b>	8.8	8.8	9.1	8.8	8.9	0.1	1%
				ociate Prof			
UMC	8.5	8.4	8.5	8.3	8.6	0.1	1%
UMKC	10.4	10.5	10.2	10.1	10.2	-0.2	-2%
UMR	9.8	10.0	9.7	10.3	9.4	-0.4	-4%
UMSL	8.7	9.2	8.8	8.3	8.7	0.0	0%
<b>UM-System</b>	9.1	9.2	9.1	9.0	9.1	0.0	0%
			Ass	istant Prof	fessor		
UMC	8.5	8.2	8.3	7.7	7.8	-0.7	-8%
UMKC	10.1	10.1	10.4	9.3	8.7	-1.4	-14%
UMR	8.5	8.8	9.3	9.1	9.0	0.5	6%
<b>UMSL</b>	8.9	9.2	8.4	7.8	8.6	-0.3	-3%
<b>UM-System</b>	8.8	8.8	8.8	8.2	8.3	-0.5	-6%
_				Instructo			
UMC	26.8	8.5	8.0	8.0	5.0	-21.8	-81%
UMKC	11.0	11.8	12.0	10.0	11.0	0.0	0%
UMR	9.0	9.0	9.0	46.0			
UMSL	3.7	8.0	3.0	12.0	9.0	5.3	143%
<b>UM-System</b>	10.8	9.4	8.0	9.9	8.3	-2.5	-23%

Note: Actual change and percent change are rounded.

P&B 02/22/99

Table 3c: Comparison of Average Credit Value per Section, By Section Type,
For Full-time, Regular, Ranked Faculty,
Fall 1994 - Fall 1998

							Percent
Campus	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	Change	Change

Table 3d: Comparison of Average Enrollment per Section, By Section Type, For Full-time, Regular, Ranked Faculty, Fall 1994 - Fall 1998

Campus	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	Change	Percent Change
			All S	Section Ty	pes		
UMC	18.3	18.6	18.2	19.4	19.0	0.7	4%
UMKC	15.4	14.9	14.5	14.7	13.5	-2.0	-13%
UMR	17.6	18.3	17.6	17.1	16.7	-0.9	-5%
UMSL	18.8	17.3	17.0	18.3	16.6	-2.3	-12%
<b>UM-System</b>	17.7	17.5	17.1	17.8	17.0	-0.6	-4%
			Field/(	Clinical Sec	ctions		
UMC	3.8	4.9	3.9	7.7	9.2	5.4	142%

### TEACHING LOADS OF GRADUATE TEACHING A

**Table 4: Teaching Loads of Graduate Teaching Assistants, Fall 1998** 

#### On-Campus Average Instructional Responsibility and Productivity

		Average I	Responsibility	Average F	Productivity
		Section	Number	Student	
		Credits	of Sections	<b>Credit Hours</b>	
Campus	Number	Taught	Taught	Produced	Section Size

UM-Columbia 600

#### SPECIAL NOTE

The accuracy and timeliness of the teaching load data contained in this report are dependent upon the accuracy and integrity of the data maintained by the custodians of the student information and personnel/payroll systems. Because the information contained in this report is compiled by the merging of two distinct administrative systems, the data reported do not represent official, independent counts of faculty, student enrollments, credit hours, or sections. Beginning fall 1995, lecture sections have been identified separately from recitation/seminar/discussion sections. Where appropriate, historical data have been modified to reflect this change. Detailed tables and precise definitions upon which this summary is based are available by request (Planning & Budget Report P&B 99-08). Please direct requests for the detailed tables, and any comments or suggestions concerning this study to Mardy T. Eimers at (573) 882-3412.