IFC Meeting Minutes

3/19/21

- 1. Discussion with Beth Chancellor
 - a. Opportunities for improvement discussed including:
 - i. Enhanced communication
 - ii. Posting of instructions to a central location (outside of email)
 - iii. Better timing of deployment was discussed
 - iv. Mac challenges
 - b. ReMTeReR Sanctiona guidance on what the decision maker could look to if someone is found responsible in a Title IX situation
 - b. No matter what the guides give you as a suggestion the full range is still available
 - c. Reaching out to see if anything stands out to IFC that might need another look?
 - i. The suggestion is the full range when it lists a series of options not progressive
 - ii. These guides would be given to a decision maker if there is a decision of responsibility
- 3. Discussion with President Choi
 - a. Senate Bill 389
 - b. Effective enrollment management that provides the support systems to make the students successful
 - c. Scholarship and financial aid
 - d. Selectivity
 - i. May vary by the nature of the institutions, each university determine the approach appropriate for them
 - ii. Designation of selectivity is a Board of Curators decision
- 4. Digital Accessibility
 - a. Digital accessibility system-wide taskforce made up of faculty, staff and students
 - b. Faculty support will be needed, both tools and resources
 - 5. Course sharing
 - a. There will likely be a lot of new programs some that have a potentia
 - b. Going to one Canvas in the fall
 - c. Working on making the resources easily accessible

- d. Elements being recommended by the task force:
 - i. Credit hours go back to the faculty who teaches
 - ii. Currently students pay their own campus the taskforce is recommending 60% of the course's tuition for the students coming from another campus will go to the campus where the teaching is happening
 - iii. Faculty member doesn't have to go and get approved to teach a course sharing course. Modify to where all UM faculty can teach these courses for their UM colleagues
 - iv. Look and reassess after 1 year of the revised model
- e. IFC agreed with the recommendations, next step will be to present to UMAO